Topic	Comment Summary	EPA Response
	Several stakeholders expressed support for the Version 9.0 specification, noting that the updated	
	criteria will be more representative of average consumer use of the latest television technology.	
	Specifically, stakeholders supported the revised HCR definition and alignment with the ANSI/CTA-	
General	2037-C test method.	EPA appreciates these comments.
	A stakeholder proposed the use of weighted On Mode metrics that factor in the typical usage of	
	each preset picture setting based on recent survey data. The survey included 100 participants from	
	California and indicated that 26% consumers keep their TV in the default picture setting while	EPA appreciates this additional information and understands the merits of this suggestion to improve
	about 58% change to the brightest picture setting. This stakeholder recommended using this	representativeness of how the TV is being used. However, this suggestion warrants further research and
On Mode	information to weight the results of each of the three picture settings being considered as part of	investigation of more consumers and in diverse geographical locations. EPA included this in the
Criteria	the Version 9.0 criteria.	Considerations for Future Revisions section of the specification.
		EPA reviewed the full data set of 12 HCR models, which demonstrated a 25% pass rate (3 out of 12) of HCR-
		capable TVs. One of these models were within 1% of meeting the requirements without the adjustment
		factor but the vast majority of the models could not meet with the adjustment factor. Based on this data,
		EPA believes the adjustment factor reflects the intention to recognize the top-performing HCR models.
	Several stakeholders supported the updated HCR definition to prevent misidentification. One	believes the adjustment factor reflects the intention to recognize the top-performing fick models.
	·······································	Also, the observed increases in efficiency between the 2020 and 2021 models supports EPA's belief that
		there are readily available means by which manufacturers can increase efficiency and reinforces the
		·
		viewpoint that by making ENERGY STAR certification obtainable for these products, there is an incentive for
	A stakeholder suggested reviewing additional HCR model data to determine if the HCR adjustment	·
	factor should be reduced. Another stakeholder recommended removing the HCR adjustment	redevelopments are significantly more efficient than comparable models that have not been updated,
	factor for the following reasons:	which supports the notion that the models that have been engineered to perform well above the baseline
	5 5, ,	should be recognized.
	factor, which demonstrates that if efficiency gains are implemented, OLED models would not need	
	•	EPA acknowledges that as new technologies emerge, these proposed criteria levels may need to be
		adjusted. However, there is no current data to support that future technologies will increase efficiency
	there has been an efficiency improvement of 16.9% when comparing 2020 and 2021 models.	while providing a similar contrast ratio or when these technologies may be available on the market. The
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	appropriateness of the HCR adjustment factor will be reevaluated as additional models that qualify for it are
High Contrast	• It conflicts with precedent as plasma TVs had HCR, yet were not given an adjustment factor to	introduced to the market or when new technology is available that demonstrates similar contrast ratio with
Ratio (HCR)	meet ENERGY STAR.	increased efficiency.
	·	EPA appreciates this clarifying comments and has made the necessary updates to reflect this in the
Test Method	highest illuminance testing condition, not 140 lux.	specification.